

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/828,451	Applicant(s) SKAKOON ET AL.	
	Examiner Kathryn Odland	Art Unit 3743	

All Participants:

 (1) Kathryn Odland.

 (2) Suneel Arora.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 28 May 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

90, 103, 107, 111, 112, 116, 120, 125, and 127

Prior art documents discussed:

Baudino (US 5,927,277) and Dressen et al. (US 5,843,150)


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was contacted to request amending the above mentioned claims to more clearly define the invention and overcome the prior art of record

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.




 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)